

# 1 Piecewise Constant Inputs

## 1.1 Motivation

Oftentimes, we encounter functions that vary with time but are constant over certain intervals of time. Suppose we have a circuit as in fig. 1.




Figure 1: Capacitor charging through a circuit with a resistor.

In the previous note, we covered instances where  $V_S(t)$  is a constant in time. Now, suppose we have  $V_S(t)$  as in fig. 2:




Figure 2: Example of  $V_S(t)$

Given an input like this, we would want to model the voltage across the capacitor as a function of time, i.e.  $V(t)$ . These types of inputs are quite common in practice (e.g. voltage sources controlled by switches), but more importantly, they will help us understand how to approach more general types of inputs.

## 1.2 Differential Equations with Piecewise Constant Inputs

### Definition 1 (Piecewise Constant Inputs)

Suppose  $u(t)$  is a piecewise constant input. This means that there are a sequence of indices  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and corresponding times  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$  such that  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \dots$  and  $u(t)$  is constant for  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ .<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>For the purposes of this note, we will primarily focus our attention on *right continuous* piecewise constant functions, which is described by this definition.

An example of a piecewise constant input is shown in fig. 2. Here, we have  $t_1 = 0, t_2 = 10, t_3 = 20$ , etc. Over each interval  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ ,  $V_S(t)$  is constant (either 1 or 0).

### Theorem 2 (Solving Differential Equations with Piecewise Constant Inputs)

Consider a differential equation as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \lambda x(t) + u(t) \quad (1)$$

for  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Let  $u(t)$  be a piecewise function with time indices  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$  such that  $u(t)$  is constant for  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ . The solution to this differential equation is characterized by the *recurrence equation*

$$x(t) = x(t_{i-1})e^{\lambda(t-t_{i-1})} + \frac{(e^{\lambda(t-t_{i-1})} - 1)u(t_{i-1})}{\lambda} \quad (2)$$

where  $t_{i-1} \leq t < t_i$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then the recurrence equation is

$$x(t) = u(t_{i-1})(t - t_{i-1}) + x(t_{i-1}) \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* Case 1. Suppose  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Since  $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)$ , we know  $u(t) = u(t_{i-1})$  will be constant. Hence, we can consider  $x(t_{i-1})$  as an “initial condition” and apply the formula for a differential equation with constant input (Theorem 20 of Note 1), namely

$$x(t) = \left(k + \frac{u(t_{i-1})}{\lambda}\right)e^{\lambda(t-t_{i-1})} - \frac{u(t_{i-1})}{\lambda} \quad (4)$$

$$= ke^{\lambda(t-t_{i-1})} + \frac{(e^{\lambda(t-t_{i-1})} - 1)u(t_{i-1})}{\lambda} \quad (5)$$

where  $k = x(t_{i-1})$  represents our “initial condition”.

Case 2. Suppose  $\lambda = 0$ . Then, the differential equation is

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = u(t) \quad (6)$$

Again, since  $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)$ , we know  $u(t) = u(t_{i-1})$  will be constant. Hence, we can apply Theorem 13 of Note 1 and obtain

$$x(t) = u(t_{i-1})(t - t_{i-1}) + x(t_{i-1}) \quad (7)$$

□

**Key Idea 3** (Solving Recurrence Equations)

When provided a recurrence equation as in eq. (2), we often do not know the value of  $x(t_{i-1})$ , i.e. suppose we know the initial condition  $x(t_0)$ . We can find  $x(t_{i-1})$  by applying the recurrence equation again, namely

$$x(t_{i-1}) = x(t_{i-2})e^{\lambda(t_{i-1}-t_{i-2})} + \frac{(e^{\lambda(t_{i-1}-t_{i-2})} - 1)u(t_{i-2})}{\lambda} \quad (8)$$

which will give us  $x(t_{i-1})$  in terms of  $x(t_{i-2})$ <sup>a</sup>. Apply the recurrence repeatedly until all of the terms on the RHS are known. This *recursive* procedure is the reason equations like eq. (2) are called “recurrence equations”.

<sup>a</sup>Note that  $t_{i-1} \notin [t_{i-2}, t_{i-1})$ , which was a crucial part of the proof of Theorem 2. However, we can assume that  $x(t)$  will be continuous at  $t_{i-1}$ , so the recurrence will still hold.

**1.2.1 Example**

Consider the circuit in fig. 1 and piecewise voltage input in fig. 2. Suppose we wish to find  $V(t)$ . Using KCL and properties of capacitors, we can model  $V(t)$  with the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(t) = -\frac{V(t)}{RC} + \frac{V_S(t)}{RC} \quad (9)$$

Now, we can derive the recurrence equation. Suppose that, for  $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)$ ,  $V_S(t) = 0$ . Thus,

$$V(t) = V(t_{i-1})e^{-\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{RC}} \quad (10)$$

where  $\lambda = -\frac{1}{RC}$  and  $u(t_{i-1}) = 0$ . If, instead,  $V_S(t) = 1$ , then  $V(t)$  will be

$$V(t) = (V(t_{i-1}) - 1)e^{-\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{RC}} + 1 \quad (11)$$

where  $\lambda = -\frac{1}{RC}$  and  $u(t_{i-1}) = \frac{1}{RC}$ .


Suppose we wanted to find  $V(25)$ , knowing the initial condition  $V(0) = 0$ . We can apply the recurrence equation as follows:

$$V(15) = V(10)e^{-\frac{5}{RC}} \quad (12)$$

$$= \underbrace{\left( (V(0) - 1)e^{\frac{10}{RC}} + 1 \right)}_{V(10)} e^{-\frac{5}{RC}} \quad (13)$$

$$= \left( 1 - e^{\frac{10}{RC}} \right) e^{-\frac{5}{RC}} \quad (14)$$

If we were to plot  $V(t)$ , then we would see a graph similar to fig. 3.

Figure 3: Plot of  $V(t)$ 

## 2 General Time-Varying Inputs

### 2.1 Motivation

Suppose that now we would like to deal with general functions  $u(t)$ . In particular, let's say that we want to find a solution to the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \lambda x(t) + bu(t) \quad (15)$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $u(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We can further assume that  $u(t)$  is integrable and differentiable everywhere. This is called an inhomogeneous, first order, linear differential equation. These types of differential equations allow us to model more general types of voltage inputs to our system, such as sinusoidal voltage inputs provided by an oscilloscope.

### 2.2 Solution with $\lambda = 0$

We can first consider the case of  $\lambda = 0$ .

#### Theorem 4 (Inhomogeneous Solution with $\lambda = 0$ )

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then the solution to eq. (15) is

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + b \int_{t_0}^t u(\theta) d\theta \quad (16)$$

where  $x(t_0)$  is a given initial condition.

*Proof.* If  $\lambda = 0$ , then we can rewrite eq. (15) as

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = bu(t) \quad (17)$$

From here, we can take integrals on both sides, from  $t_0$  to  $t$ . Furthermore, introduce a dummy variable  $\theta$  for integration:

$$\int_{t_0}^t \frac{d}{d\theta}x(\theta) d\theta = \int_{t_0}^t bu(\theta) d\theta \quad (18)$$

Applying the fundamental theorem of calculus, we obtain


$$x(t) - x(t_0) = b \int_{t_0}^t u(\theta) d\theta \quad (19)$$

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + b \int_{t_0}^t u(\theta) d\theta \quad (20)$$

□

### 2.3 Solution with $\lambda \neq 0$

The case with  $\lambda \neq 0$  is more difficult and will require us to include our approach for dealing with piecewise constant inputs. Namely, we can write any function as a piecewise constant function with the constant intervals being  $t_i = t_{i-1} + \Delta$ , and then take  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ . This is illustrated in fig. 4, where the red function denotes the piecewise constant approximation.



**Figure 4:** Our style of approximating a general function by a piecewise constant function.

To approach the problem of general, time-varying functions  $u(t)$ , we must make use of a lemma involving the piecewise constant approximation of  $u(t)$  alluded to previously.

#### Lemma 5 (Piecewise Constant Approximation Solution)

Consider the differential equation in eq. (15) with  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Let  $u(t)$  be a piecewise constant function with time indices  $0, \Delta, 2\Delta, \dots$  such that  $u(t)$  is constant for  $t \in [i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta)$ . Furthermore, assume that  $x(0)$  is a known initial condition, and define  $N := \lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor$ . Therefore, the solution to eq. (15) is

$$x(t) = x\left(\left\lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \right\rfloor \Delta\right) e^{t - \lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor \Delta} + \frac{b(e^{\lambda(t - \lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor \Delta)} - 1)}{\lambda} u\left(\left\lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \right\rfloor \Delta\right) \quad (21)$$

where

$$x\left(\left\lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \right\rfloor \Delta\right) = x(0) e^{\lambda \lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor \Delta} + \frac{b(e^{\lambda \Delta} - 1)}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor} u((k-1)\Delta) e^{(\lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor - k)\Delta} \quad (22)$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 2, we know that

$$x(i\Delta) = x((i-1)\Delta) e^{\lambda \Delta} + \frac{b(e^{\lambda \Delta} - 1)}{\lambda} u((i-1)\Delta) \quad (23)$$

$$\implies x(i\Delta) - x((i-1)\Delta)e^{\lambda\Delta} = \frac{b(e^{\lambda\Delta} - 1)}{\lambda} u((i-1)\Delta) \quad (24)$$

Now, define  $N := \lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor$ . Note that we can write

$$x(N\Delta) - x(0)e^{\lambda N\Delta} = x(N\Delta) - x((N-1)\Delta)e^{\lambda\Delta} \quad (25)$$

$$+ x((N-1)\Delta)e^{\lambda\Delta} - x((N-2)\Delta)e^{2\lambda\Delta} + \dots \quad (26)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N \left( x(k\Delta) - x((k-1)\Delta)e^{\lambda\Delta} \right) e^{(N-k)\lambda\Delta} \quad (27)$$

$$\stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{eq. (24)}}}{=} \frac{b(e^{\lambda\Delta} - 1)}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{(N-k)\lambda\Delta} \quad (28)$$

so

$$x(N\Delta) = x(0)e^{\lambda N\Delta} + \frac{b(e^{\lambda\Delta} - 1)}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{(N-k)\lambda\Delta} \quad (29)$$

Since  $N := \lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor$ , we know  $t \in [N\Delta, (N+1)\Delta)$ . Applying Theorem 2 again, we have

$$x(t) = \left( x(N\Delta) + \frac{u(N\Delta)}{\lambda} \right) e^{t-N\Delta} - \frac{u(N\Delta)}{\lambda} \quad (30)$$

$$= x(N\Delta)e^{t-N\Delta} + \frac{b(e^{t-N\Delta} - 1)}{\lambda} u(N\Delta) \quad (31)$$

□

### Theorem 6 (Inhomogeneous Solution with $\lambda \neq 0$ )

If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then the solution to eq. (15) is

$$x(t) = x(t_0)e^{\lambda(t-t_0)} + be^{\lambda t} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\lambda\theta} u(\theta) d\theta \quad (32)$$

*Proof.* As mentioned before, we can take  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$  to make it such that our piecewise constant approximation exactly matches the original  $u(t)$ . Again, define  $N := \lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor$ . Note that, as  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ ,  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $N\Delta = \lfloor \frac{t}{\Delta} \rfloor \Delta \rightarrow t$ . First, we can consider the case where  $t_0 = 0$ , and later generalize to arbitrary  $t_0$ . Using the result from Lemma 5, we have

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} x(t) = \left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} x(N\Delta) \right) \underbrace{\left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} e^{t-N\Delta} \right)}_{=1} + \frac{b}{\lambda} \underbrace{\left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} (e^{t-N\Delta} - 1) \right)}_{=0} \left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} u(N\Delta) \right) \quad (33)$$

$$= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} x(N\Delta) \quad (34)$$

To compute this limit, we can substitute eq. (22)

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} x(N\Delta) = x(0) \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} e^{\lambda N\Delta} + \frac{b}{\lambda} \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} (e^{\lambda\Delta} - 1) \sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{(N-k)\lambda\Delta} \quad (35)$$

$$= x(0)e^{\lambda t} + \frac{b}{\lambda} \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\Delta)^n}{n!} \right) \sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{(N-k)\lambda\Delta} \quad (36)$$

$$= x(0)e^{\lambda t} + b \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{(N-k)\lambda\Delta} \Delta \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-1} \Delta^n}{n!} \right) \quad (37)$$

$$= x(0)e^{\lambda t} + b \underbrace{\left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} e^{\lambda N \Delta} \right)}_{e^{\lambda t}} \left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{-k\lambda \Delta} \right) \underbrace{\left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-1} \Delta^n}{n!} \right)}_{=1} \quad (38)$$

$$= x(0)e^{\lambda t} + be^{\lambda t} \left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{-k\lambda \Delta} \right) \quad (39)$$

$$= x(0)e^{\lambda t} + be^{\lambda t} \underbrace{\left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} e^{-\lambda \Delta} \right)}_{=1} \left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{-(k-1)\lambda \Delta} \right) \quad (40)$$

$$= x(0)e^{\lambda t} + be^{\lambda t} \left( \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{-(k-1)\lambda \Delta} \right) \quad (41)$$

where we use the Taylor expansion of  $e^{\lambda \Delta}$  to obtain eq. (36). Note that the  $\sum_{k=1}^N u((k-1)\Delta) e^{-(k-1)\lambda \Delta}$  term is a left Riemann sum of the function  $u(\theta) e^{-\lambda \theta}$ . So, as we take  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ , this Riemann sum becomes an integral from 0 to  $t$  and we end up with

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} x(N\Delta) = x(0)e^{\lambda t} + be^{\lambda t} \int_0^t u(\theta) e^{-\lambda \theta} d\theta \quad (42)$$

$$\implies \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} x(t) = x(t) = x(0)e^{\lambda t} + be^{\lambda t} \int_0^t u(\theta) e^{-\lambda \theta} d\theta \quad (43)$$

where  $\theta$  is a dummy variable in the integration.

For arbitrary initial conditions (e.g.  $x(t_0)$ ), we can define  $\tau := t - t_0$  (or equivalently,  $t = \tau + t_0$ ),  $\tilde{x}(\tau) := x(\tau + t_0)$ , and  $\tilde{u}(\tau) := u(\tau + t_0)$ . We can redefine the differential equation in eq. (15) as follows:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \tilde{x}(\tau) = \frac{d}{dt} x(\tau + t_0) \underbrace{\frac{d\tau}{dt}}_{=1} \quad (44)$$

$$= \lambda x(\tau + t_0) + bu(\tau + t_0) \quad (45)$$

$$= \lambda \tilde{x}(\tau) + b\tilde{u}(\tau) \quad (46)$$

with initial condition  $\tilde{x}(0) = x(t_0)$ . We can derive the following solution for  $\tilde{x}(\tau)$  and back substitute to find  $x(t)$

$$x(t) = \tilde{x}(\tau) = \tilde{x}(0)e^{\lambda \tau} + be^{\lambda t} \int_0^{\tau} \tilde{u}(\tilde{\theta}) e^{-\lambda \tilde{\theta}} d\tilde{\theta} \quad (47)$$

$$= x(t_0)e^{\lambda(t-t_0)} + be^{\lambda(t-t_0)} \int_0^{t-t_0} u(\tilde{\theta} + t_0) e^{-\lambda \tilde{\theta}} d\tilde{\theta} \quad (48)$$

$$= x(t_0)e^{\lambda(t-t_0)} + be^{\lambda(t-t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t u(\theta) e^{-\lambda(\theta-t_0)} d\theta \quad (49)$$

$$= x(t_0)e^{\lambda(t-t_0)} + be^{\lambda t} \int_{t_0}^t u(\theta) e^{-\lambda \theta} d\theta \quad (50)$$

where we obtain eq. (49) by performing u-substitution, defining  $\theta := \tilde{\theta} + t_0$ .  $\square$

**Concept Check:** Show that this solution is unique for a given differential equation and initial condition.

### 2.3.1 Example

Consider the circuit in fig. 1, with  $V_S(t) = e^{-t}$ , with the capacitor initially discharged (i.e.  $V(0) = 0$ ). The differential equation that models the voltage across the capacitor is

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(t) = -\frac{1}{RC}V(t) + \frac{1}{RC}e^{-t} \quad (51)$$

Here, we can perform the pattern matching with  $\lambda = -\frac{1}{RC}$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{RC}$ , and  $u(t) = e^{-t}$ . Applying the result of Theorem 6 and plugging into eq. (32), we obtain

$$V(t) = \frac{1}{RC}e^{-\frac{t}{RC}} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\theta}{RC}} e^{-\theta} d\theta \quad (52)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{RC}} \left(1 - e^{-(1+\frac{1}{RC})t}\right)}{RC + 1} \quad (53)$$

A plot of  $V(t)$  would resemble the graph in fig. 5.




Figure 5: Plot of  $V(t)$

#### Contributors:

- Anish Muthali.
- Neelesh Ramachandran.
- Nikhil Shinde.
- Anant Sahai.
- Aditya Arun.