

1 Polynomial Interpolation

Given n distinct points, we can find a unique degree $n - 1$ polynomial that passes through these points. Let the polynomial p be

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}.$$

Let the n points be

$$p(x_1) = y_1, p(x_2) = y_2, \dots, p(x_n) = y_n,$$

where $x_1 \neq x_2 \neq \cdots \neq x_n$.

We can construct a matrix-vector equation as follows to recover the polynomial p .

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{a}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{y}}$$

We can solve for the a values by setting:

$$\vec{a} = A^{-1}\vec{y}$$

Note that the matrix A is known as a Vandermonde matrix whose determinant is given by

$$\det(A) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)$$

Since $x_1 \neq x_2 \neq \cdots \neq x_n$, the determinant is non-zero and A is always invertible.

2 Polynomial Regression

Sometimes we may want to fit our data to a polynomial with an order less than $n - 1$. If we fit the data to a polynomial of order $m < n$ we get:

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1}$$

Now when we construct the matrix-vector equation to recover polynomial p , we get:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{m-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_m & x_m^2 & \cdots & x_m^{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^{m-1} \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{a}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{y}}$$

With this matrix equation, we have n equations with m unknowns, which means our system is over-defined (since $m < n$). One way to find the best fitting a values for this polynomial is to use least-squares, where you set:

$$\vec{a} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{y}$$

3 Lagrange Interpolation

In practice, to approximate some unknown or complex function $f(x)$, we take n evaluations/samples of the function, denoted by $\{(x_i, y_i \triangleq f(x_i)); 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$. For the rest of this question, we will consider the following three points: $\{(0, 3), (1, 4), (3, -6)\}$.

- a) Using the interpolation method discussed above, find the matrix A such that $A\vec{a} = \vec{y}$.

Answer

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}$$

- b) What are the coefficients a_0, a_1, a_2 ?

Answer

We have our A matrix construction from part (a). All that we have to do now is invert the matrix to find that

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -4/3 & 3/2 & -1/6 \\ 1/3 & -1/2 & 1/6 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore we have $\vec{a} = A^{-1}\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$, which suggests that $f(x) = 3 + 3x - 2x^2$.

- c) Observe that this system very quickly becomes frustrating to solve—as n increases, the difficulty of calculating the inverse increases far more quickly.

This is where *Lagrange interpolation* can be useful; the idea of Lagrange interpolation is that, instead of writing the polynomial in question in terms of $\{1, x, x^2\}$, we will write it in terms of $\{L_0(x), L_1(x), L_2(x)\}$, where each

$$L_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq i \end{cases}$$

With that, the problem reduces to finding these new coefficients b_0, b_1, b_2 of the function

$$f(x) = b_0L_0(x) + b_1L_1(x) + b_2L_2(x)$$

such that $f(x_i) = y_i, \forall i = 0, 1, 2$. **What are these coefficients b_i ?**

Answer

We will show this more generally, and apply our findings to the case wherein $n = 3$. Conveniently by construction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0) &= b_0 L_0(x_0) + b_1 L_1(x_0) + \cdots + b_{n-1} L_{n-1}(x_0) = b_0 = y_0 \\ &\vdots \\ f(x_{n-1}) &= b_0 L_0(x_{n-1}) + b_1 L_1(x_{n-1}) + \cdots + b_{n-1} L_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) = b_{n-1} = y_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Arranging this in matrix form yields

$$I_n \vec{b} = \vec{y}$$

which imposes $\vec{b} = \vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}$. No matrix inversion is required!

d) **Show that if we define**

$$L_i(x) = \prod_{j=0; j \neq i}^{n-1} \frac{(x - x_j)}{(x_i - x_j)}$$

then the condition requested from part (c) is satisfied.

Answer

Plugging in x_i , we will get

$$L_i(x_i) = \prod_{j=0; j \neq i}^{j=n-1} \frac{(x_i - x_j)}{(x_i - x_j)} = 1$$

Consider now plugging in x_k into $L_i(x)$, for some $k \neq i$. The term $\frac{x_k - x_k}{x_i - x_k} = 0$ must appear when the summation index $j = k$. Hence $L_i(x_k)$ must be zero for all $k \neq i$.

The intuition is that, since we want some polynomial $L_i(x_j) = 0$ for $j \neq i$, we can take $\prod_{k \neq i} (x - x_k)$. To have $L_i(x_i) = 1$, we can simply "normalize" the polynomial by $\prod_{k \neq i} (x_i - x_k)$, which gives the form of $L_i(x)$.

e) Based on the previous two parts, write down the explicit form of $f(x)$ that passes through the samples $\{(0, 3), (1, 4), (3, -6)\}$ in terms of x as opposed to $L_i(x)$. The resulting formula is the so called Lagrange polynomial which passes through the n sampled points. Does this agree with the previous method?

Answer

Recall that $b_i = y_i$ when using Lagrange polynomials. Using the definition of h :

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= b_0 L_0(x) + b_1 L_1(x) + b_2 L_2(x) \\ &= y_0 L_0(x) + y_1 L_1(x) + y_2 L_2(x) \\ &= 3 \left(\frac{(x-1)(x-3)}{(0-1)(0-3)} \right) + 4 \left(\frac{(x-0)(x-3)}{(1-0)(1-3)} \right) + (-6) \left(\frac{(x-0)(x-1)}{(3-0)(3-1)} \right) \\ &= (x^2 - 4x + 3) + (-2)(x^2 - 3x) + (-1)(x^2 - x) \\ &= -2x^2 + 3x + 3 \end{aligned}$$

Which does agree with our previous method! If we were to test the Lagrange method of determining polynomial coefficients for a much higher-order polynomial (say, $n = 1000$), we would find this method would be several orders of magnitude faster than the one we used in part (b), which is very useful for us to know.

- f) Now, suppose instead we wanted to use regression to fit our 3 points to a linear system $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x$. What are the best-fit coefficients a_0 and a_1 in this situation?

Answer

$$A\vec{a} = \vec{y}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_0 \\ 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}$$

From the note, we have $\vec{a} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{y}$. We can find $(A^T A)^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (A^T A)^{-1} &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} 10 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{14} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -4 \\ -4 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this all in, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{y} &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -4 \\ -4 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{14} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -4 \\ -4 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -14 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{14} \begin{pmatrix} 59 \\ -144 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$